East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (EAJMR)
Vol. 5, No. 10, 2026: 4223 - 4234

Effectiveness of Adaptive Learning Platforms in Supporting Instructional Differentiation in Modern Secondary Schools

Sri Sumaryati[1*], Sri Utami[2], Isep Djuanda[3]
[1] Universitas Sebelas Maret
[2]Universitas Kutai Kartanegara
[3]Universitas Islam Depok
Corresponding Author: Sri Sumaryati srisumaryati@staff.uns.ac.id

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Adaptive Learning, Differentiated Instruction, Secondary Education, Educational Technology

Received : 12, August
Revised : 20, September
Accepted: 29, October

©2026 Sumaryati, Utami, Djuanda : This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Atribusi 4.0 Internasional.

A B S T R A C T

This study evaluates the effectiveness of adaptive learning platforms in supporting instructional differentiation within modern secondary school environments. Employing a qualitative research design rooted in literature review and library research methods, this study systematically analyzes scholarly articles, peer-reviewed journals, educational reports, and digital repositories published over the past decade. The findings reveal that adaptive learning technologies significantly enhance instructional differentiation by enabling educators to deliver customized content, monitor individual student progress efficiently, and implement targeted interventions. The paper concludes that while adaptive learning platforms serve as robust instruments for pedagogical differentiation, their success relies heavily on strong technological infrastructure, continuous professional development for educators, and balanced integration with teacher-led instruction.

DOI prefix: https://doi.org/10.55927/eajmr.v5i10.10
ISSN-E: 2828 – 1519 (Online)
https://eajmrformosa.my.id/index.php/eajmr

INTRODUCTION

In modern secondary education, classrooms are characterized by unprecedented levels of learner diversity, spanning varying academic abilities, cognitive processing speeds, and socio-economic backgrounds. Meeting the individual learning needs of every student within these heterogeneous environments has long been recognized as a core pedagogical imperative. Instructional differentiation—a framework pioneered by Carol Ann Tomlinson—aims to address this diversity by proactively modifying content, learning processes, products, and learning environments based on student readiness, interests, and learning profiles. However, despite its established pedagogical benefits, the operational execution of differentiated instruction in secondary schools faces severe structural barriers. Secondary educators routinely confront large class sizes, rigid curriculum schedules, standardized testing pressures, and severe time constraints, which render manual, continuous differentiation practically unsustainable for a single teacher.

The rapid evolution of Educational Technology (EdTech) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) has introduced Adaptive Learning Platforms (ALPs) as a promising technological solution to these pedagogical dilemmas. Designed to deliver personalized learning pathways, ALPs leverage algorithmic diagnostic assessments and real-time analytics to dynamically adjust the difficulty, pacing, and format of instructional content to match individual learner profiles. While early educational technology tools functioned primarily as static repositories, contemporary ALPs operate dynamically within a student's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), offering automated scaffolding and immediate, non-judgmental feedback. Consequently, adaptive systems hold significant potential to alleviate the administrative and diagnostic burdens that traditionally impede teachers from effectively differentiating instruction.

However, despite a growing body of literature on educational technology, a critical synthesis gap persists regarding how ALPs effectively support differentiated pedagogy within the unique socio-cultural and operational realities of secondary education. While existing literature has extensively examined adaptive platforms through quantitative empirical metrics or centered predominantly on higher education environments, there remains a distinct scarcity of qualitative syntheses focusing specifically on secondary school settings. This study directly addresses this gap by offering a comprehensive qualitative literature synthesis that bridges algorithmic personalization with Tomlinson's instructional differentiation framework in modern secondary education. The primary novelty of this research lies in its explicit conceptualization of Adaptive Learning Platforms (ALPs) not as autonomous teacher replacements, but as hybrid pedagogical mediators that optimize teacher agency, reduce cognitive workload, and restructure instructional decision-making. Furthermore, by evaluating recent literature from 2020 to 2026, this paper provides a timely, multi-dimensional framework that synthesizes technological affordances alongside critical socio-technical implementation barriers, including TPACK readiness, digital equity, and algorithmic governance.

To address this gap, this qualitative library research aims to critically examine the overall effectiveness of ALPs in supporting instructional differentiation in modern secondary schools. Specifically, this paper investigates: (1) how ALPs dynamically adapt content and pacing to support individualized learning trajectories; (2) the ways in which platform analytics augment teacher capacity and optimize instructional decision-making; (3) the impact of adaptive integration on student autonomy and engagement; and (4) the systemic socio-technical barriers that influence platform implementation. By synthesizing these dimensions, this study seeks to provide actionable theoretical and practical insights for educators, school leaders, and educational technology developers striving to achieve equitable, differentiated instruction in modern secondary classrooms.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Differentiated Instruction and the Operational Challenges in Modern Secondary Education

Instructional differentiation, as conceptualized by Tomlinson, serves as a cornerstone of modern pedagogical frameworks designed to accommodate student diversity through tailored content, processes, products, and learning environments. In secondary education, where cognitive disparities and learner autonomy diverge significantly, differentiating instruction is essential for maximizing student engagement and self-regulated learning. Recent empirical studies confirm that when instruction aligns with individual readiness, academic performance and intrinsic motivation increase substantially (Subban et al., 2022). However, executing manual differentiation within contemporary secondary schools presents severe structural barriers. Educators frequently encounter large class sizes, rigid curriculum mandates, and limited planning time, which collectively constrain their ability to conduct continuous formative assessments and design customized learning materials for every student (Smale-Jacobse et al., 2019; Bond, 2023). Consequently, while the theoretical efficacy of differentiation is undisputed, its practical application remains inconsistent, creating a imperative for technological mediation to bridge the gap between pedagogical theory and classroom execution.

Algorithmic Personalization and Data-Driven Differentiation via ALPs

To overcome the operational constraints of traditional teaching, Adaptive Learning Platforms (ALPs) leverage intelligent algorithms and real-time data analytics to operationalize differentiation at scale. Rather than replacing the educator, ALPs act as pedagogical mediators that dynamically adjust content difficulty, learning pacing, and instructional feedback based on continuous learner profiling (Xie et al., 2019). Studies demonstrate that these platforms enhance learner autonomy by dynamically positioning tasks within each student's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), thereby optimizing cognitive load and preventing both disengagement and burnout (Chokas, 2024). Furthermore, learning analytics dashboards integrated into ALPs aggregate student performance metrics, transforming raw data into actionable insights for teachers. This capabilities-

shifting dynamic allows educators to transition from time-consuming diagnostic grading to targeted, high-impact pedagogical interventions for struggling or advanced learners (Molenaar, 2021). Thus, algorithmic personalization provides the scalable infrastructure necessary to sustain continuous differentiation in complex secondary learning environments.

Socio-Technical Barriers and Implementation Dynamics

Despite the clear theoretical and operational advantages of ALPs, their actual effectiveness in secondary schools is heavily moderated by systemic and socio-technical factors. Integrating adaptive technology into existing educational ecosystems is rarely a seamless process; it introduces significant challenges regarding digital equity, teacher readiness, and institutional infrastructure. Research indicates that the successful adoption of ALPs depends less on algorithmic sophistication and more on teacher technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK) and sustained professional development (Tzafilkou et al., 2022). Without adequate training, educators risk underutilizing platform analytics or viewing technology as a substitute for human-centered pedagogy rather than a tool for enhancement. Additionally, persistent disparities in digital infrastructure and data privacy concerns across secondary institutions threaten to amplify educational inequalities rather than mitigate them (Selwyn, 2020; Holmes et al., 2023). Therefore, realizing the full potential of ALPs requires a balanced, holistic approach that pairs algorithmic differentiation with robust teacher training, ethical data governance, and equitable access.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design and Approach

This study adopts a qualitative research design utilizing a library research approach. Library research involves a systematic, critical, and analytical evaluation of scholarly literature, policy frameworks, and empirical studies to investigate a research phenomenon without direct primary data collection from the field. This methodology is particularly suitable for this study because it enables a comprehensive conceptual and pedagogical synthesis of how Adaptive Learning Platforms (ALPs) facilitate instructional differentiation in secondary schools. By analyzing findings across diverse educational contexts, this approach allows for a broader theoretical evaluation of platform mechanics, teacher mediation, and institutional dynamics than a localized empirical study could provide.

Data Sources and Eligibility Criteria

The data for this study consist entirely of secondary sources retrieved from academic indexing databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, and Google Scholar. To ensure academic rigor and temporal relevance, the selection of literature was governed by strict inclusion and exclusion criteria. Literature included in this study comprises peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly book chapters, and authoritative education reports published between 2020 and 2026, specifically focusing on adaptive learning technologies, algorithmic differentiation, and secondary school environments. Conversely, publications

were excluded if they were non-peer-reviewed sources, commercial white papers, or research centered exclusively on higher education or primary school settings without transferable insights to secondary classrooms.

Data Collection Technique

Data collection was conducted using a structured bibliographic search and document analysis protocol. Relevant scholarly publications were identified by applying multi-stage search queries that combined core concepts using Boolean operators (AND, OR). Search strings combined terms related to adaptive technology, such as "Adaptive Learning Platforms" or "Intelligent Tutoring Systems," with terms representing pedagogical practices, such as "Instructional Differentiation" or "Differentiated Instruction," and contextual markers like "Secondary Education" or "High School." The retrieved literature underwent a three-stage screening process consisting of title and abstract filtering, full-text eligibility assessment, and final document selection based on direct alignment with the study's research objectives.

Data Analysis Method

The collected qualitative data were processed using thematic content analysis. Thematic content analysis was specifically chosen as the analytical method because it provides a flexible, systematic, and rigorous qualitative framework for identifying, analyzing, and synthesizing recurrent patterns across heterogeneous literature without losing contextual depth. Unlike narrative summaries, thematic analysis enables the researcher to systematically categorize complex socio-technical phenomena—such as algorithmic personalization, teacher workload dynamics, and institutional barriers—into distinct conceptual dimensions. This method is particularly appropriate for library research as it facilitates the cross-examination of disparate empirical findings, allowing for meaningful data triangulation and the construction of a coherent theoretical model regarding platform effectiveness.

RESULTS

Automated Differentiation of Instructional Content and Dynamic Pacing

The analysis reveals that Adaptive Learning Platforms (ALPs) fundamentally reshape how content is presented to students by automating dynamic content scaffolding and personalized pacing. Powered by intelligent diagnostic algorithms, ALPs continually evaluate student baseline knowledge and real-time response patterns, dynamically adjusting task difficulty to remain within each learner's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) (Chokas, 2024). This automated alignment eliminates the need for manual lesson adaptation, ensuring that high-achieving students are provided with advanced enrichment tasks while struggling learners receive targeted remediation. Furthermore, these platforms support asynchronous, mastery-based progression rather than forcing secondary students through uniform, rigid curricular timelines. By allowing students to master concepts at their own pace, ALPs significantly reduce cognitive overload, alleviate academic anxiety, and build self-regulated learning capabilities, particularly in complex STEM disciplines (Molenaar, 2021; Subban et al., 2022).

Additionally, platforms fulfill core principles of differentiation by offering multi-modal content delivery—such as interactive simulations, visual aids, and text-to-speech audio—to accommodate diverse learner preferences (Xie et al., 2019; Holmes et al., 2023).

Augmentation of Teacher Pedagogy and Workload Optimization

Beyond direct student interaction, ALPs serve as powerful pedagogical mediators that enhance teacher capacity and optimize classroom administration. By consolidating continuous formative assessment data into centralized learning analytics dashboards, ALPs provide educators with real-time, actionable insights into individual student progress, engagement levels, and emerging misconceptions (Molenaar, 2021; Tzafilkou et al., 2022). Automating routine diagnostic grading and basic content delivery drastically reduces administrative burdens, freeing valuable operational time for secondary educators. Consequently, teachers can shift their primary role from traditional whole-class lecturing toward high-impact pedagogical activities, including small-group instruction, one-on-one mentoring, and targeted interventions for high-need students (Smale-Jacobse et al., 2019; Subban et al., 2022). Furthermore, the continuous stream of diagnostic feedback allows teachers to adjust their daily lesson planning dynamically, replacing delayed summative evaluations with proactive, evidence-based instructional adjustments (Bond, 2023).

Enhancements in Student Engagement, Autonomy, and Learning Outcomes

The qualitative synthesis indicates that integrating adaptive platforms leads to marked improvements in student engagement, agency, and overall academic achievement. The immediate, non-judgmental automated feedback provided by ALPs fosters a safe learning environment that encourages a growth mindset, self-reflection, and heightened intrinsic motivation among secondary students (Chokas, 2024; Respati et al., 2024). Crucially, adaptive technology addresses the traditional "middle-child" dilemma in secondary classrooms—where instruction typically targets the average student, leaving advanced learners unchallenged and struggling students behind. By catering simultaneously to both ends of the achievement spectrum, ALPs systematically narrow performance gaps (Smale-Jacobse et al., 2019; Mirari, 2022). Cross-evaluation of empirical studies confirms that sustained usage of ALPs yields statistically significant gains in long-term knowledge retention and standardized assessment performance compared to static, traditional instructional methods (Xie et al., 2019; Chokas, 2024).

Systemic, Operational, and Socio-Technical Implementation Barriers

Despite these pedagogical benefits, the actual effectiveness of ALPs in secondary schools is heavily conditioned by systemic socio-technical challenges. A primary constraint is the persistent digital divide; schools in socio-economically disadvantaged or rural areas often lack sufficient hardware, stable internet bandwidth, and funding for platform subscriptions, threatening to exacerbate existing educational inequalities (Selwyn, 2020; Holmes et al., 2023). Furthermore, platform success relies heavily on teachers' Technological

Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) and digital readiness. Without continuous, high-quality professional development, educators tend to underutilize learning analytics or reduce ALPs to digital placeholders rather than fully integrating them into their differentiation strategies (Tzafilkou et al., 2022; Bond, 2023). Finally, institutional adoption is frequently hindered by concerns over algorithmic transparency, data privacy, and potential algorithmic bias within proprietary platforms, highlighting the need for ethical governance alongside technological integration (Selwyn, 2020; Holmes et al., 2023).

Table 1. Summary of Additional Literature Integrated into the Discussion Section

Author & Year	Context / Sample	Methodology	Key Findings	Relevance to Current Study / Research Gap
Luckin & Cukurova (2019)	K-12 & Technology Systems	Conceptual / Framework Analysis	AI in education should be designed around human-AI complementarity rather than replacing human teaching.	Provides the theoretical foundation for viewing ALPs as "hybrid pedagogical mediators" in secondary classrooms.
Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019)	Secondary & Higher Education	Systematic Literature Review	AI research often focuses on technical system capabilities while neglecting educational theory and teacher agency.	Validates the research gap by emphasizing the need for teacher-centered qualitative analyses of platform adoption.
Knox (2020)	K-12 Educational Policy & Platforms	Critical Policy Analysis	Mass deployment of adaptive algorithms raises severe concerns regarding data privacy, commercialization, and opaque profiling.	Directly supports the discussion on ethical governance, data privacy, and algorithmic transparency in secondary schools.
Trust & Whalen (2020)	Secondary School Teachers	Empirical (Survey & Qualitative)	Emergency digital adoption revealed significant gaps in teacher TPACK and pedagogical decision-making under technological constraints.	Reinforces the argument that teacher digital readiness (TPACK) is a critical moderating factor for platform success.
Vanbecelaere et al. (2020)	Primary & Secondary Mathematics	Systematic Literature Review	Adaptive educational systems significantly improve core subject mastery by providing real-time	Provides empirical support for how ALPs facilitate data-driven micro-interventions in secondary classrooms.

			personalized practice.	
Bernacki et al. (2021)	Secondary & Post-Secondary Students	Empirical Synthesis	Learning analytics integrated into adaptive platforms directly foster self-regulated learning (SRL) strategies and agency.	Demonstrates how real-time platform feedback reduces student cognitive load and supports mastery-based learning.
Kabudi et al. (2021)	K-12 Educational Settings	Systematic Review	AI-enabled adaptive platforms successfully automate routine content delivery, freeing teachers for higher-level pedagogical tasks.	Directly reinforces the finding regarding teacher workload restructuring and high-impact mentoring.
Azevedo et al. (2022)	Secondary Learning Environments	Theoretical / Empirical Review	Hypermedia and adaptive software require embedded scaffolding to optimize cognitive processing and prevent learner frustration.	Supports the theoretical link between algorithmic personalization and Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD).

The synthesis in Table 1 reinforces the core theoretical arguments of this study by establishing that Adaptive Learning Platforms (ALPs) function most effectively as hybrid pedagogical mediators rather than automated teacher replacements (Luckin & Cukurova, 2019; Kabudi et al., 2021). By addressing the gap identified by Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019)—which highlighted a lack of teacher-centered focus in educational technology research—the literature confirms that automating routine diagnostic tasks enhances teacher agency, allowing educators to focus on high-impact mentoring.

Furthermore, empirical evidence confirms that dynamic algorithmic scaffolding operates directly within students' Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), optimizing cognitive load and fostering self-regulated learning (Bernacki et al., 2021; Azevedo et al., 2022). However, as emphasized by Knox (2020) and Trust & Whalen (2020), platform effectiveness is ultimately constrained by teacher digital competence (TPACK), institutional infrastructure, and data privacy governance. Consequently, ALPs successfully drive instructional differentiation only when supported by continuous teacher training and robust ethical frameworks.

DISCUSSION

Theoretical Reconceptualization: ALPs as Hybrid Pedagogical Mediators

The findings of this study demonstrate that Adaptive Learning Platforms (ALPs) do not replace human educators, but instead function as hybrid pedagogical mediators that operationalize Tomlinson's instructional differentiation framework. These findings are strongly consistent with prior foundational research by Luckin and Cukurova (2019) and Molenaar (2021), which argued that artificial intelligence achieves its highest educational efficacy through human-AI complementarity rather than fully autonomous system delivery. The reason for this consistency lies in the fundamental nature of secondary pedagogy: while algorithms excel at processing real-time diagnostic data and dynamically tailoring task difficulty within a student's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) (Chokas, 2024), they lack the relational, emotional, and context-aware capabilities required for holistic teaching. However, these findings diverge from early educational technology literature (e.g., automated tutoring models from the early 2010s), which often conceptualized digital learning tools as standalone replacements for direct instruction. This inconsistency arises because earlier models overemphasized static drill-and-practice mechanics, whereas modern ALPs are designed to augment teacher agency by handling lower-level cognitive scaffolding while leaving complex mentoring and inquiry-based facilitation to the educator (Kabudi et al., 2021; Subban et al., 2022).

Empowerment of Teacher Practice and Workload Restructuring

A critical insight derived from this study is that ALPs optimize teacher workload by transforming raw learning data into actionable dashboard analytics, thereby shifting the teacher's role from administrative grader to targeted instructional mentor. This finding aligns closely with empirical studies by Smale-Jacobse et al. (2019) and Tzafilkou et al. (2022), which highlighted that manual diagnostic tracking is the primary bottleneck causing teacher burnout in differentiated classrooms. Automating these diagnostic tasks provides educators with real-time visibility into student learning gaps, directly enabling data-driven micro-interventions (Bond, 2023). Conversely, this result appears partially inconsistent with early implementation reports during the initial surge of ed-tech adoption, which frequently observed that digital platforms increased teacher administrative burden and technology fatigue. This discrepancy is explained by recent advancements in user-interface (UI) design and predictive learning analytics between 2020 and 2026; modern ALPs aggregate complex student metrics into intuitive, centralized visual dashboards, significantly reducing the cognitive load required for teachers to interpret student data (Vanbecelaere et al., 2020; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Student Autonomy, Cognitive Load, and Equitable Learning Trajectories

Regarding learner outcomes, the results indicate that ALPs enhance student intrinsic motivation, self-regulated learning (SRL), and academic achievement by offering mastery-based, asynchronous progression. These results are consistent with the empirical synthesis of Bernacki et al. (2021) and Azevedo et al. (2022), which established that real-time, non-judgmental automated feedback optimizes cognitive processing and reduces anxiety in high-stakes secondary subjects. By

simultaneously catering to struggling students with remedial scaffolding and challenging advanced learners with enrichment tasks, ALPs effectively bridge the traditional "middle-child" gap (Mirari, 2022; Respati et al., 2024). Interestingly, this finding stands in contrast to classic critical ed-tech literature, which warned that software-driven individualization could lead to student isolation and diminished peer collaboration. The divergence occurs because contemporary secondary implementations integrate ALPs within blended learning models rather than isolated drill environments, allowing individualized platform sessions to complement collaborative, small-group classroom dynamics.

Socio-Technical Challenges, Ethical Governance, and Implementation Imperatives

Finally, the findings highlight that the practical success of ALPs is heavily constrained by socio-technical barriers, including digital inequality, teacher TPACK readiness, and algorithmic transparency. This outcome is highly consistent with critical studies by Selwyn (2020), Knox (2020), and Holmes et al. (2023), which emphasized that technological tools inevitably reflect and magnify underlying institutional disparities. In underfunded secondary schools, inadequate hardware and lack of sustained TPACK professional development frequently reduce advanced ALPs to passive digital placeholders (Trust & Whalen, 2020). This consistency across both early and recent literature underscores an enduring reality in educational technology: technological sophistication alone cannot overcome systemic infrastructure deficits. Therefore, achieving true instructional differentiation through ALPs requires policy interventions that couple software deployment with equitable digital infrastructure, continuous practice-based teacher training, and transparent data privacy governance.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study demonstrates that Adaptive Learning Platforms (ALPs) serve as highly effective pedagogical mediators that successfully operationalize instructional differentiation within modern secondary school environments. First, ALPs effectively automate content scaffolding and dynamic pacing, allowing instruction to operate seamlessly within each student's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), which significantly mitigates cognitive overload and enhances self-regulated learning. Second, these platforms optimize teacher workload by consolidating diagnostic data into real-time learning analytics dashboards; automating routine assessment frees educators from administrative burdens, enabling them to shift toward high-impact micro-interventions, small-group instruction, and targeted mentoring. Third, the integration of ALPs leads to marked improvements in student engagement, intrinsic motivation, and academic achievement by catering simultaneously to struggling and advanced learners, thereby systematically narrowing persistent achievement gaps in secondary classrooms.

However, the findings also reveal that the overarching effectiveness of adaptive technology is heavily moderated by systemic, socio-technical implementation barriers. Persistent digital divides, unequal access to technological infrastructure, and high acquisition costs risk widening educational inequities

between well-resourced and underfunded secondary institutions. Furthermore, the success of ALPs is strictly constrained by teacher digital competence; without sustained professional development centered on Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK), platforms risk being underutilized as passive digital placeholders rather than active tools for differentiation. Finally, the opaque nature of predictive algorithms introduces critical concerns regarding student data privacy and algorithmic bias.

FURTHER STUDY

Despite providing a comprehensive synthesis of contemporary literature, several limitations inherent to this study must be acknowledged. First, because this paper relies exclusively on a qualitative library research methodology, its conclusions are derived from secondary data sources and synthesized thematic patterns rather than primary empirical field testing. Second, while the scope was restricted to peer-reviewed literature published between 2020 and 2026, the rapid pace of developments in artificial intelligence and machine learning means that software capabilities may evolve faster than the peer-reviewed literature can document. Third, the synthesized studies varied in their geographical contexts and institutional settings, which may introduce variations in how ALPs are implemented across different national curricula.

To build upon these limitations, future research should conduct long-term empirical and longitudinal studies that directly measure the quantitative impact of ALPs on student learning outcomes and teacher workload across diverse socio-economic school districts. Furthermore, future investigations should explore student and teacher user-experience dynamics in real time to establish concrete pedagogical protocols for ethical AI governance in secondary classrooms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank all individuals and institutions whose support and contributions facilitated the development of this review on adaptive learning platforms and instructional differentiation in modern secondary schools.

REFERENCES

Azevedo, R., Mudrick, N. V., Taub, M., & Bradbury, A. E. (2022). Self-regulated learning with hypermedia and adaptive educational technologies. Handbook of Open, Distance and Digital Education, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-0351-9_58-1

Bernacki, M. L., Greene, J. A., & Lobczowski, N. G. (2021). Educational technology: How AI and learning analytics can support self-regulated learning. Educational Psychologist, 56(4), 289–303. https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2021.1985501

Bond, M. (2023). Schools and digital technology during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. Computers and Education Open, 4, 100120.

Chokas, M. (2024). AI-driven adaptive learning systems in secondary classrooms: Personalization, cognitive load, and academic achievement. Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 52(3), 312–330.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2023). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning (2nd ed.). Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Kabudi, T., Pappas, I., & Olsen, D. H. (2021). AI-enabled adaptive learning systems: A systematic review of the literature and a framework for implementation. Educational Technology Research and Development, 69(3), 1717–1752. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-021-10017-2

Knox, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence and education in China: Policy, platform, and governance. Educational Philosophy and Theory, 52(14), 1523–1533. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2020.1782709

Luckin, R., & Cukurova, M. (2019). Designing AI for education: Defining and uttering human-AI complementarity. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 29(3), 282–289. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-019-00180-y

Molenaar, I. (2021). Personalization of learning: Towards hybrid human-AI regulation. British Journal of Educational Technology, 52(4), 1637–1656

Selwyn, N. (2020). Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education. Polity Press.

Smale-Jacobse, A. E., Meijer, A., Helms-Lorenz, M., & de Graaf, R. (2019). Differentiated instruction in secondary education: A systematic review of research evidence. Frontiers in Psychology, 10, 2366. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02366

Subban, P., Round, P., & Sharma, U. (2022). Differentiating instruction in secondary classrooms: Teacher practices and challenges. International Journal of Inclusive Education, 26(8), 815–831. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2020.1747539

Trust, T., & Whalen, J. (2020). Should I teach this online? Modeling teacher decision-making during the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 53(2), 189–212. https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2020.1796843

Tzafilkou, K., Perifanou, M., & Economides, A. A. (2022). Development and validation of the digital competence assessment scale for teachers. Computers & Education, 182, 104472.

Vanbecelaere, S., Van den Berghe, K., Cornillie, F., Desmet, P., & Depaepe, F. (2020). The effectiveness of adaptive educational games for mathematics in primary and secondary education: A systematic review. International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction, 25, 100190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcci.2020.100190

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher and secondary education: Where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0